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## Introducing Bantu height harmony

■ Height harmony $(\mathrm{HH})$ is extremely common among Bantu languages (e.g. Clements 1991, Hyman 1999, 2003).

- Work has tended to focus on the most frequently found variety: "canonical" asymmetric HH (e.g. Moto 1989, Hyman 1991, Scullen 1992, Harris 1994, 1997, Steriade 1995, Mutaka 1995, Downing 2010).
- Indeed, this has been described as 'a classic phonology problem' (Downing \& Mtenje 2017:75).


## In this talk I will...

■ Discuss five patterns from five five-vowel languages.
■ Give some background on these languages.
■ Introduce Beckman's (1997) analysis of HH in Shona:

- Positional faithfulness and feature co-occurrence constraints.
- Multiple linking allows for a reduction in the total number of tokens of a given autosegment or combinations of autosegments.
- Apply this to S. Kongo, Pende, Punu and Lozi.

■ Show that this able to account for HH in S. Kongo and Pende.

- But not so for Punu and Lozi.


## The chosen five

■ Shona (S.12; Zimbabwe, Mozambique): canonical HH;
■ S. Kongo (H.16a; Angola): non-canonical HH;
■ Pende (L.11; D.R. Congo): non-canonical HH;
■ Lozi (K.21; Zambia etc.): non-canonical HH;
■ Punu (B.43; Gabon, R. Congo): no HH.

- (All Guthrie codes follow Maho 2009)


## Geographical context



## Some generalisations I

■ Lowering of vowels in verbal suffixes by preceding stem vowels.
■ Commonly affected suffixes: causative, applicative, reversive etc.
■ High /i u/ lowered to mid /e o/ by preceding mid vowels.

- Final vowels fall outside the domain of harmony.

■ But, see seven-vowel Koyo (C.24), where final /a/ may be raised (Hyman 1999:240).

■ Low /a/ is opaque and neither triggers nor undergoes lowering; it therefore seems to form a natural class with high /i u/.

- Though, as we shall see, this is not entirely true for Pende.


## Some generalisations II

■ HH is also usually asymmetric w.r.t. rounding (or backness).
■ /i/ is lowered after both /e o/ whereas /u/ is lowered only after /o/.

- This is both common currently and robust historically (Hyman 1999:238,245).

■ Thus, for many languages, HH can, descriptively at least, be split into front ( FHH ) and back height harmony (BHH)

## Canonical five-vowel Bantu HH

(1) a. Front height harmony: $\mathrm{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{e} /\{\mathrm{e} o\}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}_{-}$
b. Back height harmony: $u \rightarrow 0 / o C_{-}$

## The present subset I

- The five systems dealt with today are summarised below.

| Shona |  | S. Kongo |  | Pende |  | Punu |  | Lozi |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i-i | $\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | i.i | i.u | $i \cdot i$ | $\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $i \cdot \mathrm{i}$ | i•u | $i \cdot i / e$ | $i \cdot u$ |
| u-i | $\mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | u-i | u-u | $u \cdot i$ | $\mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | u-i | $\mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $u \cdot i / e$ | $\mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ |
| e.e | $\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | e.e | e.o | e.e | $\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | e.i | $\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}$ | $\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ |
| o.e | O.0 | O.e | O.0 | O.e | O.0 | o-i | o.u | o.i/e | 0.0 |
| $a \cdot i$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | a.i | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $\underline{a \cdot e}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ |

Table 1: Height harmony systems in five-vowel Bantu languages

- FHH contexts on the left; BHH contexts on the right.

■ Bolding and underlining highlight changes in vowel height.

## The present subset II

- The canonical pattern in Shona is overwhelmingly the commonest in five-vowel Bantu languages (Hyman 1999:236-46).

■ Few languages lack HH, as Punu does, or exhibit non-canonical HH, such as Pende and Lozi.

- The symmetric pattern in S. Kongo is exceedingly rare among five-vowel Bantu languages, being limited to S. Kongo itself and closely related varieties (Hyman 1999:242).

■ Incidentally, there are no (convincing) reported cases of a Bantu language with a "reverse Lozi" system (i.e. lacking BHH but having FHH; Hyman 1999:245).

## Shona overview

- As reported in Beckman (1997) and elsewhere, Shona exhibits the canonical HH pattern for a five-vowel Bantu language.

■ In FHH contexts, unrounded /i/ is lowered to /e/ after both /e o/.
■ In BHH contexts, rounded $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is lowered to /o/ only after /o/ itself.
■ Examples that follow illustrate this with the applicative and reversive suffixes.

## FHH in Shona

(2)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } & \text {-ip-ir-a } \\
\text { b. } & \text {-svetuk-ir-a } \\
\text { c. } & \text {-per-er-a } \\
\text { d. } & \text {-son-er-a } \\
\text { e. } & \text {-vav-ir-a }
\end{array}
$$

> 'to be evil for' 'to jump in' 'to end in' 'to sew for' 'to itch at'
(Fortune 1955 in Beckman 1997:10)

## BHH in Shona

(3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. -kiy-inur-a } \\
& \text { b. -sung-unur-a } \\
& \text { c. -pet-enur-a } \\
& \text { d. -mon-onor-a } \\
& \text { e. -nam-anur-a }
\end{aligned}
$$

(Dale 1999:165)

## Canonical asymmetric HH: Shona, Chewa, Kisa etc.

- Many authors treat this as two distinct processes:

■ Moto (1989) on Chewa (N.31): triggers only permitted to spread [-high] to targets specified as [-round, -low] with the exception that [+round] triggers can only spread to targets specified as [+round, -low].
■ Nevins (2010:130-3) on Kisa (E.32) and Shona separates FHH and BHH, with only BHH being parasitic on [+round].

- Mutaka (1995:43-4) and Hyman (1991) also use a parasitic stipulation.

■ Harris (1994) does not tackle asymmetry.

- Beckman's (1997) approach does not require this division.


## S. Kongo overview

■ Of the current subset, only S. Kongo has HH but no front-back asymmetry.

■ Unrounded /i/ is lowered to mid /e/ after both /e o/.
■ Rounded $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is also lowered to mid /o/ after both $/ \mathrm{e}$ o/.
■ Examples follow with the applicative and reversive suffixes.

## FHH in S. Kongo

(4)

| a. -sik-il-a | 'soutenir, fortifier' |
| :--- | ---: |
| b. -vur-il-a | 'surpasser, l'emporter' |
| c. -leng-el-a | 'dépérir, languir' |
| d. -somp-el-a | 's'attacher à' |
| e. -land-il-a | 'suivre' |

(de Gheel 1652 in Hyman 1999:241)

## BHH in S. Kongo

(5) a. -vil-ul-a
b. -bub-ul-a
c. -lemb-ol-a
d. -tomb-ol-a
e. -bang-ul-a
'mouvoir, remuer' 'corrompre' 'barrer, effacer' 'faire monter' 'faire violence’
(de Gheel 1652 in Hyman 1999:241)

## Pende overview

- In Pende, there is a front-back asymmetry

■ However, it is of a different kind to Shona.

■ Unrounded /i/ lowers not only after /e o/ but also after /a/.
■ However, as in Shona (and Lozi), /u/ only lowers after /o/.
■ This is seen with the applicative and reversive suffixes.

## FHH in Pende

(6) a. -díg-íl-a
b. -túng-íl-a
c. -bemb-el-a
d. -lómb-él-a
e. -sas-el-a
'bâtir pour'
'vendre pour'
'abandonner pour' 'demander pour'
'hacher pour'
(Niyonkuru 1978 in Hyman 1999:242)

## BHH in Pende

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (7) } & \text { a. } & \text {-shit-ul-a } \\
\text { b. } & \text {-vumb-ul-a } \\
\text { c. } & \text {-seng-ul-a } \\
\text { d. } & \text {-bóg-ól-a } \\
\text { e. } & \text {-kál-úg-a }
\end{array}
$$

'défaire (nœud)' 'déterrer' 'absoudre' 'briser' 'gémir'
(Gusimana 1972 in Hyman 1999:242)

## Bantu languages with no HH

■ Among Bantu languages, only five-vowel languages lack HH (Hyman 1999:239).

- A possible exception to this is seven-vowel Enya (D.14; see Hyman 1999:239, footnote 8).

■ In these languages, 'the distribution of mid vowels is severely restricted' (Hyman 1999:239).

## Punu overview

■ Mid /e o/ are only found root-initially (Kwenzi-Mikala 1980:8 in Hyman 1999:240).

■ Initial vowels therefore have no effect on the height of vowels in potential target suffixes.

- Thus, suffixes such as the applicative and reversive are always realised with high vowels.


## No FHH in Punu

(8)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } & \text {-kil-il-a } \\
\text { b. } & \text {-sub-il-a } \\
\text { c. } & \text {-ded-il-a } \\
\text { d. } & \text {-gol-il-a } \\
\text { e. } & \text {-gab-il-a }
\end{array}
$$

'repasser'
'uriner sur' 'obéir à'
'se frotter avec' 'distribuer à'
(Blanchon 1995 in Hyman 1999:240)

## No BHH in Punu

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (9) } & \text { a. } & \text {-kip-ul-a } \\
\text { b. } & \text {-fung-ul-a } \\
\text { c. } & - \text {-tes-ul-a } \\
\text { d. } & \text {-dob-ul-a } \\
\text { e. } & \text {-gab-ul-a }
\end{array}
$$

'découvrir' 'révéler' 'briser' 'extraire, extirper' 'séparer'
(Blanchon 1995 in Hyman 1999:240)

## Lozi overview

■ As in Shona and Pende, HH in Lozi is asymmetric.
■ But is rather different from either as FHH is entirely absent.

- There is no lowering of underlying high front vowels.
- And no raising of underlying mid front vowels.

■ But BHH in Lozi is the same as in Shona and Pende (i.e. /u/ is lowered only after /o/).

■ HH is therefore extremely restricted as a change in vowel height is effected in just a single context.

## No FHH in Lozi I

(10) a. -lif-is-a
b. -fuluh-is-a
c. -belek-is-a
d. -fol-is-a
e. -bal-is-a
'to fine'
'to help paddle'
'to give employment'
'to wait till sunset'
'to teach to read'
(Jalla 1937, Fortune 2001)

## No FHH in Lozi II

(11) a. -bih-el-a
b. -fuluh-el-a
c. -fwek-el-a
d. -kolop-el-a
e. -alaf-el-a
'to report to'
'to paddle towards' 'to land at, on'
'to scrub (the floor) for'
'to nurse for'
(Jalla 1937, Fortune 2001)

## BHH in Lozi

(12)
a. -bip-ulul-a
b. -lut-ulul-a
c. -ez-ulul-a
d. -bof-olol-a
e. -amb-ulul-a
'to let fermented grain dry up' 'to unthatch'
'to do for the second time' 'to outspan' 'to change one's mind'
(Jalla 1937, Fortune 2001)

## Preliminaries I

- Beckman's (1997) analysis of canonical HH in Shona employs positional faithfulness and feature co-occurrence constraints.

■ She argues against analyses using alignment constraints (Beckman 1997:26-33).

- The mid vowels /e o/ are seen as being more marked than peripheral /iua/.

■ Cf. Moto (1989) and Harris's (1994) treatments of Chewa, where mid vowels are also considered more marked in terms of their number of features.

## Preliminaries II

- No featural underspecification for vowels:
a. $/ \mathrm{i} /=[+$ high, -low, -round, -back]
b. $/ \mathrm{u} /=[+$ high, -low, +round, + back $]$
c. $/ \mathrm{e} /=$ [-high, -low, -round, -back]
d. $/ \mathrm{o} /=[-$ high, -low, + round, + back $]$
e. $/ \mathrm{a} /=[-$ high, + low, - round, + back $]$


## Preliminaries III

- The ranking of the relevant constraints is as follows:
(14) Ident(rd), Ident(lo), Ident- $\sigma_{1}(h i)$ » *RoLo » *Mid » *High » Ident(hi)
- These will be unpacked next.


## Preliminaries IV

(15) a. IDEnt(rd): Do not change values for the feature [ $\pm$ round] between input and output.
b. Ident(lo): Do not change values for the feature [ $\pm$ low] between input and output.
c. Ident- $\sigma_{1}$ (hi): Do not change values for the feature [ $\pm$ high] between input and output for a segment in the root-initial syllable.
d. *RoLo: Segments should not be simultaneously specified as [+round] and [-high].

## Preliminaries $V$

e. *Mid: Segments should not be simultaneously specified as [-high] and [-low].
f. *High: Segments should not be simultaneously specified as [+high] and [-low].
g. Ident(hi): Do not change values for the feature [ $\pm$ high] between input and output.

## Preliminaries VI

■ Note that this analysis does not use, e.g., alignment constraints.
■ Harmony is a result of the interaction of positional faithfulness and marked feature combinations.

■ To Krämer (2003:66), this analysis appeals because, not only does it 'impl[y] a typology of vowel harmony' but also because the constraints used are independently motivated.

## Preliminaries VII

■ Finally, a further key detail in Beckman's analysis is that, where possible, adjacent vowels share Aperture or VPlace nodes.

- Certain sequences of segments are therefore assigned fewer violations than if their nodes were not shared (since there are fewer tokens of certain autosegments).
- This minimisation of the number of autosegment tokens, along with the constraint ranking, is able to account for asymmetric HH .


## Walkthrough I

■ Undominated Ident(rd) prohibits changes to the feature [ $\pm$ round].

- Similarly, $\operatorname{Ident}(\mathrm{lo})$ prevents alterations to [ $\pm$ low].
- This prevents raising of $/ \mathrm{a} /$ in any position.
- And stops harmony from applying across an intervening low /a/.


## Walkthrough II

(16)

| /CoCaCiC/ | Ident(lo) | *Mid | * High | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  | * | * |  |
| b. C |  | **! |  | * |
| c. C | *! | * |  | * |

## Walkthrough III

■ Since high-ranking Ident- $\sigma_{1}$ (hi) prevents changes being made to [ $\pm$ high] in initial syllables, alterations must be made to the right.

■ Harmony therefore appears to propagate rightwards (as seen in the tableaux that follow).

## Walkthrough IV

■ Mid /e o/ are able to surface in initial syllables because IDENT- $\sigma_{1}$ (hi) dominates *Mid.

| /CeC/ | IdENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *MID | * HIGH | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * |  |  |
|  | *! |  |  |  |

## Walkthrough V

■ And mid /e o/ surface in non-initial syllables following other mid vowels.

- This is thanks to multiple linking and the fact that both *MID and *High outrank Ident(hi).


## Walkthrough VI

(18)

| /CeCiC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | ${ }^{*}$ Mid | *High | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  | * | *! |  |
| b. C |  | * |  | * |
|  |  | **! |  | * |
| d. C | *! |  | * | * |

## Walkthrough VII

■ But they are prevented from surfacing after high /i u/for the same combination of reasons.
(19)

| /CiCeC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *MID | * High | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  | * | *! |  |
| b. C C |  |  | * | * |
| c. C |  |  | **! | * |
| d. C | *! | * |  | * |

## Walkthrough VIII

■ Ranking *Mid above *High means that [i] not [e] surfaces after [a].

- Beckman states that this as an 'emergence of the unmarked effect (McCarthy \& Prince 1994)'.
(20)

| /CaCiC/ | *MID | * High | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *! |  | * |
|  |  | * |  |

## Walkthrough IX

- *RoLo (= *[+round, -high]) militates against [o] surfacing. Beckman (1997:24) cites Kaun (1995:144) in support (see also Kaun 2004).
- It is this that prevents the inputs $/ \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{u} /$ or $/ \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{o} /$ from surfacing as [ $\mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{o}$ ].

■ The multiple linking needed to avoid excessive violations is not possible in this instance.

## Walkthrough X

(21)

| /CeCuC/ | $\operatorname{IDENT}(\mathrm{rd})$ | *RoLo | *MID | *High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  |  | * |  |
| b. C |  | *! | * |  |
| c. C | *! |  | * |  |
| d. C | *! |  | * | * |

## Walkthrough XI

■ However, this is possible with the inputs / $\mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{u} /$ or /o.o/.

- And so the above inputs result in the height-harmonic output [o.o].


## Walkthrough XII

(22)

| / $\mathrm{CoCuC} /$ | Ident(rd) | *RoLo | *MID | *High | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  | * | * | *! |  |
| b. C |  | * | * |  | * |
| c. |  | **! | ** |  | * |
| d. | *! | * | * | * |  |

## Preview

■ Now l'll apply Beckman's (1997) work to:
■ S. Kongo-symmetric HH;
■ Pende-asymmetric HH with lowering after /a/ in FHH ;
■ Punu-no HH and mid vowels only root-initially;
■ And Lozi-only BHH and only after /o/.

- The feature specifications used are the same as for Shona.
- And the same stipulations on multiple linking apply.

■ As previously mentioned, S. Kongo and Pende pose no problems.
■ Punu and Lozi, however, do.

## S. Kongo I

- The analysis of Shona is easily adapted to S. Kongo's symmetric HH system.
- This is accounted for by simply demoting *RoLo from a high- to a low-ranking position:
(23) Ident(rd), Ident(lo), Ident- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi}) »{ }^{*}$ Mid » *High » Ident(hi)» *RoLo


## S. Kongo II

■ This allows an input of /e•u/ or /e•o/ to surface as height-harmonic [e•o].
(24)

| /CeCuC/ | IDENT(rd) | *MID | *HIGH | *RoLo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * | *! |  |
| b. |  | * |  | * |

## S. Kongo III

■ While all other outcomes remain the same as in Shona.

- E.g. the inputs $/ \mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{u} /$ or $/ \mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{o} /$ both surface as height-harmonic $[\mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{o}$ ].
(25)

| / $\mathrm{CoCuC} /$ | $\operatorname{IDENT}(\mathrm{rd})$ | *MID | *HIGH | *RoLo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | * | *! |  |
| b. C $\overbrace{\substack{\text { VPlace } \\ \text { Ap [rd }]}}^{\text {ochic o }}$ C |  | * |  | * |

## S. Kongo IV

■ Similarly, /i/ is lowered after both /e o/.

- And, mid /e o/ are not permitted to surface after high /i u/.


## Pende I

- Pende also does not pose any problems.

■ Firstly, as for Shona, ranking of *Mid and *High above Ident(hi) means that the inputs $/ \mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{u} /$ and $/ \mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{o} /$ surface as $[\mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{o}]$.
(26)

| / $\mathrm{CoCuC} /$ | IdENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *Mıid * ${ }^{\text {* }}$ IGG | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  | * | $\begin{array}{l:l} \text { * } & \text { *! } \\ & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{array}$ |  |
| b. C |  | * |  | * |

## Pende II

■ But *RoLo prevents /e•u/from surfacing as [e•o], yielding [e•u] instead. (27)

| /CeCuC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | *High | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. C |  | *! |  |  | * |

## Pende III

■ The added wrinkle that / $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{i} /$ surfaces as [a•e] is dealt with by ranking *High above *Mid ensures the sequence /a•i/ surfaces as [a•e].

■ Recall that for Shona, * Нigh above *Mid are the opposite way round.
(28)

| / $\mathrm{CaCiC} /$ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *High | *MID | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  |  | *! |  |  |
| b. C |  |  |  | * | * |

## Pende IV

■ And $/ \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u} /$ is prevented from surfacing as $[\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{o}]$ by high-ranking *RoLo.
■ The observed output of $[a \cdot u]$ is therefore predicted.
(29)

| /CaCuC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *Hıgh | *MID | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | * |  |  |
| b. |  | *! |  | * | * |

## Pende V

■ Note that having *High outrank *Mid does not cause problems elsewhere.

■ For example, /i•e/ still surfaces as [i.i] thanks to multiple linking.
(30)

| /CiCeC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | * High | *MID | IDENT(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  |  | * |  | * |
| b. C |  |  | * | *! | * |

## Pende VI

■ Likewise, /e•i/ surfaces as height-harmonic [e•e].
(31)

| /CeCiC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *High | *MID | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  |  |  | * | * |
| b. C |  |  | *! | * |  |

## Pende VII

■ The constraint ranking for Pende is therefore:
(32) Ident(rd), Ident(lo), Ident- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ » *RoLo » *High » *Mid » Ident(hi)

## Punu I

- It also appears that the constraint ranking is also relatively easily adapted for Punu.
- A lack of HH can be derived by placing Ident(hi) between *Mid and * Нigh:
(33) Ident(rd), Ident(lo), Ident- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi}) »$ *RoLo » *Mid» Ident(hi) » * High
- This does not produce lowering of non-initial high vowels by initial mid vowels in the input.


## Punu II

■ This does not produce lowering of non-initial high vowels by initial mid vowels in the input.
(34)

| /CeCiC/ | IdEnt- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | Ident(hi) | * High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | **! | * |  |
| Le b. C |  |  | * |  | * |
| c. C $\underset{\substack{\text { Aperture } \\[- \text { olo }][- \text {-hi }]}}{\mathrm{e} \mathrm{C} \text { e }}$ C |  |  | * | *! |  |

## Punu III

(35)

| /CoCuC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | Ident(hi) | *High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  | **! | ** | * |  |
| - b. |  | * | * |  | * |
| c. C |  | * | * | *! |  |

## Punu IV

- However, this arrangement requires limiting mid vowels in the input to initial syllables.

■ Otherwise, non-initial mid vowels surface following initial mid vowels.

- The height-harmonic outputs are incorrectly preferred because of multiple linking.
d designates a candidate which is incorrectly selected as a winner;
© designates an actual surface form which incorrectly loses.


## Punu V

(36)

| /CeCeC/ | IdEnt- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | $\operatorname{IdENT}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  |  | **! |  |  |
| (ㄷ) b. C |  |  | * | *! | * |
| c. C <br> Aperture [-lo] [-hi] |  |  | * |  |  |

## Punu VI

(37)

| /CoCoC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | Ident(hi) | * HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  | **! | ** |  |  |
| © b . |  | * | * | *! | * |
|  |  | * | * |  |  |

## Punu VII

■ In reality, as previously noted, mid vowels in Punu are restricted to root-initial position.

- This problem is one created by multiple linking, which is necessary to account for Shona.


## Lozi I

■ The system found in Lozi poses even more of a challenge.

- The surface sequence [e.o] is disallowed.
- Thus, *RoLo must rank higher than *High.

| /CeCuC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | *HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a |  |  | * | * |
| b. C |  | *! | * |  |

## Lozi II

(39)

| /CeCoC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | *High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | * | * |
| b. C |  | *! | * |  |

## Lozi III

- And, since lowering is lacking in all but one context, namely /o•u/, Ident(hi) should rank higher than * Нigh.

■ The tableaux that follow show that ranking Ident(hi) over *High prevents lowering in FHH contexts.

## Lozi IV

- Firstly, a height-disharmonic input remains so in the output.

| $/ \mathrm{CeCiC} /$ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | Ident(hi) | *High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  |  | * |  | * |
| b. |  |  | * | *! |  |

## Lozi V

■ And this produces the correct output when given a harmonic input.

| /CeCeC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | Ident(hi) | * High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. C |  |  | * | *! | * |
| b. C <br> e $\underset{[- \text { lo }][- \text { hi }]}{\text { Aperture }}$ |  |  | * |  |  |

## Lozi VI

■ However, looking at BHH , for / $\mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{u} /$ to surface as [ $\mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{o}$ ] rather than [ $\mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ ], Ident(hi) paradoxically needs to be ranked lower than *High.
(42)

| /CoCuC/ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | *Hıgh | Ident(hi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  | **! | ** |  | * |
| b. $\overbrace{[- \text { ol }][-h i][- \text { lo }][+ \text { hi }]}^{\mathrm{Ap}[r d]} \overbrace{A p[r d]}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{C}$ |  | * | * | *! |  |
| c. C |  | * | * |  | * |

## Lozi VII

- Swapping round Ident(hi) and *High results in the incorrect output with the input sequence /o•u/:
(43)

| / $\mathrm{CoCuC} /$ | IDENT- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | Ident(hi) | *High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  | **! | ** | * |  |
|  |  | * | * |  | * |
| (2) c. C $\overbrace{\substack{\text { VPlace } \\ \text { Ap [rd }][-h i]}}^{\text {O. o }}$ C |  | * | * | *! |  |

## Lozi VIII

■ Though it does not alter an already height-harmonic input of /o.0/.
(44)

| /CoCoC/ | Ident- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ | *RoLo | *MID | Ident(hi) | *High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. |  | **! | ** |  |  |
| b. $\overbrace{\text { Ap [rd] }}^{\mathrm{C}} \overbrace{\text { Ap [rd }][-h i][- \text { oc }][+ \text { hi }]}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{C}$ |  | * | * | *! | * |
| c. C |  | * | * |  |  |

## Lozi IX

- The two conflicting constraint rankings are:
(34) a. Ident(rd), Ident(lo), Ident- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi})$ » *RoLo » *Mid» Ident(hi)» * High
b. Ident(rd), Ident(lo), Ident- $\sigma_{1}(\mathrm{hi}) »$ *RoLo ${ }^{*}$ *Mid» *High » IDENT(hi)


## Summary and conclusions

■ I introduced Beckman's (1997) analysis of HH in Shona.
■ Applied this to HH in four further five-vowel Bantu languages.

- This encounters no problems for S. Kongo and Pende.
- But does for Punu and Lozi.

■ However, these two cases do not have common problematic areas.
■ Beckman's analysis of canonical asymmetric HH in Shona is unable to cover the complete subset of HH dealt with here.

- It is therefore not readily generalisable to all Bantu languages.


## Future work

- Aim to find a solution applicable to all cases covered here.
- As well other five-vowel languages not yet discussed.

■ And expand further to include seven-vowel languages (see Appendix).
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## Appendix: Seven-vowel languages I

- Beckman’s (1997) analysis appears to be generally applicable to seven-vowel languages.


## Odden (2015)

Seven vowel languages have the potential for greater variation in vowel harmony.

■ A(n incomplete) sample of such HH systems is provided next (data from Hyman 1999, Odden 2015 and elsewhere).

## Appendix: Seven-vowel languages II

| Kikuyu | Nyamwezi |  | Kinga |  | Matumbi |  | Ndendeuli |  | Mongo- <br> Nkundo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i.e i.o | i-1 | $i \cdot v$ | i-i | $i \cdot u$ | i-i | i.u | $i \cdot i$ | i-u | i.e | i.o |
| u.e u-o | $\mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{I}$ | u.v | $\mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{i}$ | u.u | $\mathrm{u} \cdot \mathrm{i}$ | u.u | u-i | $u \cdot u$ | u.e | u.o |
| e.e e.o | 1.1 | $1 \cdot 8$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot v$ | $1 \cdot 1$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | e.e | e.u | e.e | e.o |
| o.e o.o | $v \cdot 1$ | v.v | v•ı | v.v | v•ı | ช.v | o.e | o.o | o.e | 0.0 |
| $\underline{\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon} \quad \varepsilon \cdot 0$ | e.e | e.v | $\underline{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | $\varepsilon \cdot v$ | $\underline{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ | $\varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $\underline{\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon}$ | $\varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $\underline{\varepsilon} \cdot \underline{\varepsilon}$ | $\underline{\varepsilon} \cdot \underline{ }$ |
|  | o.e | O.0 | $\underline{\mathrm{J} \cdot \varepsilon}$ | $\underline{0.3}$ | $\underline{\square \cdot \varepsilon}$ | $\underline{\text { 2.0 }}$ | $\underline{\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon}$ | $\underline{\text { 2.0 }}$ | $\underline{\nu \cdot \varepsilon}$ | $\underline{3 \cdot}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{o}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{l}$ | a.v | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{l}$ | $a \cdot v$ | $a \cdot i$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{e}$ | $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{o}$ |

Table 2: Height harmony systems in seven-vowel Bantu languages
(Guthrie codes: Kikuyu (E.51), Nyamwezi (F.22), Kinga (G.65), Matumbi (P.13), Ndendeuli (N.101), Mongo-Nkundo (C.61).)

## Appendix: Seven-vowel languages III

■ It seems that the most immediate problem the current constraint set would encounter is that, in Kikuyu, [o] (= [+round, -high]) is found as the default harmonic back vowel in a system which is also asymmetric.

- Might this require that *RoLo $=$ *[+round, -high $]$ be accompanied by a similar constraint such as ${ }^{*}$ RoLAX $=$ * [+round, -ATR]?
- Would this additional constraint also be grounded? (i.e. à la Kaun)

